arXiv:1611.05954v1 [math.CO] 18 Nov 2016

On extremal multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees with given domination number

Shaohui Wang[a], Chunxiang Wang[b], Jia-Bao Liu[c,*]

a. Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adelphi University, Garden City, NY 11550, USA

b. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P.R. China

c. School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, P.R. China

Abstract

For a graph G, the first multiplicative Zagreb index $\prod_1$ is equal to the product of squares of the vertex degrees, and the second multiplicative Zagreb index $\prod_2$ is equal to the product of the products of degrees of pairs of adjacent vertices. The (mutiplicative) Zagreb indices have been the focus of considerable research in computational chemistry dating back to Gutman and Trinajstić in 1972. In this paper, we explore the mutiplicative Zagreb indices in terms of arbitrary domination number. The sharp upper and lower bounds of $\prod_1(G)$ and $\prod_2(G)$ are given. In addition, the corresponding extreme graphs are charaterized.

Keywords: Trees; Domination number; Extremal bounds; Multiplicative Zagreb indices.
AMS subject classification: 05C05, 05C12

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, connected graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be such a graph, where $V = V(G)$ is its vertex set and $E = E(G)$ is its edge set. For $u \in V(G)$, $G - u$ is an induced subgraph of $V(G) - \{u\}$ in G. A graph G that has n vertices and $n - 1$ edges is called a tree. As usual, by P_n and $K_{1,n-1}$ denote the path and the star on n vertices, respectively.

Molecular descriptors could be helpful for QSAR/QSPR studies and for the descriptive purposes of biological and chemical properties, such as melting and boiling points, toxicity, physico-chemical, and biological properties [1, 2, 3, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23]. One of the first topological molecular descriptors is so-called Zagreb indices [4], which are auxiliary quantities in an approximated formulae for the total π-electron energy of conjugated molecules. Many results of the applications on Zagreb indices were explored in [5]. Recently, there are hundreds of articles investigated Zagreb indices in the area of chemistry and mathematics [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

*Corresponding author: S. Wang (e-mail: shaohuiwang@yahoo.com; swang@adelphi.edu), C. Wang(e-mail: wcxiang@mailccnu.edu.cn), J.B. Liu (e-mail: liujiabaoad@163.com).

The degree-based graph invariants $M_1(G)$ and $M_2(G)$ [4] are defined as

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^2, \ M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v). \tag{1}$$

In 2010, Todeschini et al. [16, 17] presented the following multiplicative variants of molecular structure descriptors:

$$\prod_1(G) = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^2, \ \prod_2(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v). \tag{2}$$

By the recursive process, we see that $\prod_2(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v) = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^{d(u)}$.

Recently, multiplicative Zagreb indices attracted extensive attention in physics, chemistry, graph theory, etc. Xu and Hua [18] proposed a unified approach to characterize extremal (maximal and minimal) trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs with respect to multiplicative Zagreb indices, respectively. Iranmanesh et al. [19] investigated these indices the first and the second multiplicative Zagreb indices for a class of dendrimers. Liu and Zhang [14] introduced several sharp upper bounds for π_1-index and π_2-index in terms of graph parameters including the order, size and radius [20]. Wang and Wei [21] studied these indices in k-trees and extremal k-trees were characterized. Ramin Kazemi [24] obtained the bounds for the moments and the probability generating function of these indices in a randomly chosen molecular graph with tree structure of order n. Bojana Borovićanin et al. [25] presented upper bounds on Zagreb indices of trees in terms of domination number. Also, a lower bound for the first Zagreb index of trees with a given domination number is determined and the extremal trees are characterized as well.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we further investigate the multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees in terms of domination number. This enriches and extends some earlier results obtained by Bojana Borovićanin et al. [25].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some useful lemmas and preliminaries. The lower bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and upper bounds of second Zagreb index on trees of given domination number in Section 3. The upper bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and lower bounds of second mutiplicative Zagreb index on trees of given domination number in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some propositions and lemmas which are critical in the following proofs.

Proposition 2.1. *The function $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+m}$ with $m > 0$ is increasing in $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proposition 2.2. *The function $g(x) = \frac{x^x}{(x+m)^{x+m}}$ with $m > 0$ is decreasing in $\mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.1. *([25]) Let T be a tree with n vertices and domination number $\frac{n+3}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$. If the value of $max\{|d(u) - d(v)|, u, v \in V(T)\}$ is as small as possible (say $d(u) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$), and n_1 is the number*

of vertices of degree 1, then $n_1 \geq 3\gamma - n$, where the inequality is strict if there exists a vertex with two pendent neighbors.

If $\Delta(G) = 1$, G is an edge by the assumption that G is connected, and corresponding results are trivial. We will conisder graphs G with $\Delta(G) \geq 2$ below.

3 Lower bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and upper bounds of second Zagreb index on the trees

In this section, we provide sharp lower bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and upper bounds of second Zagreb index on trees with n vertices and domination number γ. The corresponding extreme graphs are given in the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *Denoted by $T_{n,\gamma}$ the tree obtained from a star graph $K_{1,n-\gamma}$ by attaching a pendant edge to its $\gamma - 1$ pendant vertices.*

Let $\mathcal{T}_{n,\gamma}$ be the class of trees $T_{n,\gamma}$. Note that $\gamma = 1$ if and only if $T \cong K_{1,n-1}$. If $\Delta = n - \gamma$ in a tree of order n and domination number γ, then $T \cong T_{n,\gamma}$. The first multiplicative Zagreb index and second multiplicative Zagreb index of $T_{n,\gamma}$ can be calculated routinely below.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $T_{n,\gamma} \in \mathcal{T}_{n,\gamma}$. Then*

$$\prod_1(T_{n,\gamma}) = 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^2, \ \prod_2(T_{n,\gamma}) = 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^{n-\gamma}.$$

3.1 Lower bounds of $\prod_1(G)$ on trees with domination number γ

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a tree with n vertices and domination number γ. Then*

$$\prod_1(G) \geq 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^2, \ \textit{the equality holds if and only if } G \cong T_{n,\gamma}.$$

Proof. We first consider $\Delta(G) = 2$. Since $n \geq 2$ and G is a connected graph, then $T \cong P_n$. For $n = 2, 3$ or 4, $G \cong T_{2,1}(\equiv P_2), T_{3,1}(\equiv P_3)$ or $T_{4,2}(\equiv P_4)$, respevtively. By the routine caculations of $\prod_1(G)$, we have that the equality of Theorem 3.1 holds. If $n \geq 5$, then the inequality of Theorem 3.1 holds by direct calculations of $\prod_1(G)$. Next we will consider trees with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$.

Set $P_{d+1} := v_1v_2 \ldots v_{d+1}$ to be a longest path in G, where d is the diameter of G. We have $d(v_1) = d(v_{d+1}) = 1$. Let D be arbitrary minimal dominating set of G such that $|D| = \gamma$. Then $\triangle \leq n - \gamma$. As one can routinely calculated for $n \leq 5$, Theorem 3.1 is true. Now we will prove it by the induction on $n \geq 6$. Assume that Theorem 3.1 holds for $|G| = n - 1$, and we will show the case of $|G| = n$. There are two possible cases below.

Case 1. Suppose that $\gamma(G-v_1)=\gamma(G)$. Then v_2 is not in the choosed domination set. By the concept of $\prod_1(G)$ and $d(v_1)=1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_1(G) &= \prod_{v\in V(G)} d(v)^2 = (\prod_{v\in V(G)\setminus\{v_1,v_2\}} d(v)^2)\cdot d(v_1)^2 d(v_2)^2\\ &= (\prod_{v\in V(G)\setminus\{v_1,v_2\}} d(v)^2)(d(v_2)-1)^2\frac{d(v_2)^2}{(d(v_2)-1)^2}d(v_1)^2\\ &= \prod_1(G-v_1)\cdot\frac{d(v_2)^2}{(d(v_2)-1)^2}\cdot 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_1(G) &\geq 4^{\gamma-1}(n-1-\gamma)^2\cdot\frac{d(v_2)^2}{d(v_2-1)^2}\\ &\geq 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^2\cdot\frac{(n-1-\gamma)^2}{(n-\gamma)^2}\cdot\frac{d(v_2)^2}{d(v_2-1)^2}\\ &= 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^2\cdot(\frac{\frac{n-1-\gamma}{n-\gamma}}{\frac{d(v_2)-1}{d(v_2)}})^2\\ &\geq 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 is proved. Based on the induction hypothesis, equality (4) holds if and only if $d(v_1)=1$ and $d(v_2)=n-\gamma$, that is, $G\cong T_{n,\gamma}$.

Case 2. Suppose that $\gamma(G-v_1)=\gamma(G)-1$. Then v_2 is in every domination set and $d(v_2)=2$. By the induction hypothesis and the concept of $\prod_1(G)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_1(G) &= \prod_1(G-v_1)\cdot\frac{d(v_2)^2}{(d(v_2)-1)^2}\\ &\geq 4^{\gamma-2}(n-\gamma)^2\cdot(\frac{d(v_2)}{d(v_2)-1})^2\\ &= \frac{4^{\gamma-1}}{4}\cdot(n-\gamma)^2\cdot(\frac{2}{2-1})^2\\ &= 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 is true. Based on the induction hypothesis, the relation (5) holds if and only if $d(v_1)=1, d(v_2)=2$ and $G\setminus\{v_1\}\cong T_{n-1,\gamma-1}$, that is, $G\cong T_{n,\gamma}$. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 is proved. □

3.2 Upper bounds of $\prod_2(G)$ on trees with domination number γ

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a tree with domination number γ. Then*

$$\prod_2(G)\leq 4^{\gamma-1}(n-\gamma)^{n-\gamma},\ \textit{the equality holds if and only if } G\cong T_{n,\gamma}.$$

Proof. We consider $\Delta(G) = 2$ firstly. As G is a connected graph with $n \geq 2$, $T \cong P_n$. If $n = 2, 3$ or 4, then $G \cong T_{2,1}(\equiv P_2), T_{3,1}(\equiv P_3)$ or $T_{4,2}(\equiv P_4)$, respevtively. By the direct caculations of $\prod_2(G)$, we have that the equality of Theorem 3.2 holds. If $n \geq 5$, then the inequality of Theorem 3.2 holds by routine calculations of $\prod_2(G)$. Next we will consider trees with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$.

Set $P_{d+1} := v_1v_2 \dots v_{d+1}$ to be a longest path in G, where d is the diameter of G. We have $d(v_1) = d(v_{d+1}) = 1$. Let D be any minimal domination set of G such that $|D| = \gamma$. Then $\triangle \leq n - \gamma$. As one can routinely calculated for $n \leq 5$, Theorem 3.2 is true and we focus on $n > 6$. Now we will prove it by the induction on n. We suppose that Theorem 3.2 is true for $|G| = n - 1$, and consider the case of $|G| = n$. Here we have two seperate cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $\gamma(G - v_1) = \gamma(G)$. Then v_2 is not in the choosed domination set. By the definition of $\prod_2(G)$ and $d(v_1) = 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_2(G) &= \prod_{v\in V(G)} d(v)^{d(v)} = (\prod_{v\in V(G)\setminus\{v_1,v_2\}} d(v)^{d(v)}) \cdot d(v_1)^{d(v_1)} d(v_2)^{d(v_2)} \\
&= (\prod_{v\in V(G)\setminus\{v_1,v_2\}} d(v)^{d(v)})(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1} \frac{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}{(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1}} d(v_1)^{d(v_1)} \\
&= \prod_1(G - v_1) \cdot \frac{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}{(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1}} \cdot 1. \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction on $|G| = n - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_2(G) &\leq 4^{\gamma-1}(n - 1 - \gamma)^{n-1-\gamma} \cdot \frac{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}{(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1}} \\
&= 4^{\gamma-1}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma} \cdot \frac{(n - 1 - \gamma)^{n-1-\gamma}}{(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma}} \cdot \frac{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}{(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1}} \\
&= 4^{\gamma-1}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{(n-1-\gamma)^{n-1-\gamma}}{(n-\gamma)^{n-\gamma}}}{\frac{(d(v_2)-1)^{d(v_2)-1}}{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}} \\
&\leq 4^{\gamma-1}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma}. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.2 is proved. Based on the induction hypothesis, (6) and (7) hold if and only if $d(v_1) = 1$ and $d(v_2) = n - \gamma$, that is, $G \cong T_{n,\gamma}$.

Case 2. Suppose that $\gamma(G - v_1) = \gamma(G) - 1$. Then v_2 is in every domination set and $d(v_2) = 2$. By the induction hypothesis and the definition of $\prod_2(G)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_2(G) &\leq 4^{\gamma-2}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma} \cdot \frac{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}{(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1}} \\
&= \frac{4^{\gamma-1}}{4}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma} \cdot \frac{d(v_2)^{d(v_2)}}{(d(v_2) - 1)^{d(v_2)-1}} \\
&= \frac{4^{\gamma-1}}{4}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma} \cdot \frac{2^2}{1^1} \\
&= 4^{\gamma-1}(n - \gamma)^{n-\gamma}. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.2 is true. Based on the induction hypothesis, equality (8) holds if and only if $d(v_1) = 1, d(v_2) = 2$ and $G \setminus \{v_1\} \cong T_{n-1,\gamma-1}$, that is, $G \cong T_{n,\gamma}$. Therefore, Theorem 3.2 is proved. □

4 Upper bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and lower bounds of second mutiplicative Zagreb index on the trees

In this section, we study the upper bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and lower bounds of second mutiplicative Zagreb index on trees of n vertices and domination number γ. Here we first introduce some facts which are useful in the proofs of these results.

It is known that $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$, and $\gamma(G) = 1$ if and only if $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$. Note that the path P_n is a unique tree of order n and $\gamma(G) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ such that $\prod_1(G)$ is maximal or $\prod_2(G)$ is minimal. In this section, we seperately consider two cases of $\gamma \leq \frac{n}{3}$ and $\frac{n}{3} < \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$ below (Here we keep similar notations of [25, 26]).

Let D be arbitrary minimal dominating set of a tree G with n vertices and domination number γ, and $\overline{D} = V(T) \setminus D$. Thus, $|D| = \gamma$ and $|\overline{D}| = n - \gamma$. Denote by l, k or p the number of edges $uv \in E(G)$ such that $u \in D$ and $v \in \overline{D}$, $u \in D$ and $v \in D$, and $u \in \overline{D}$ and $v \in \overline{D}$, respectively. Since G is a tree, then

$$k + l + p = n - 1. \tag{9}$$

By the structures of D and $\overline{D}$, we have

$$\sum_{u \in D} d(u) = l + 2k, \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{v \in \overline{D}} d(v) = l + 2p, \tag{11}$$

$$\prod_1(G) = (\prod_{u \in D} d(u)^2)(\prod_{v \in \overline{D}} d(v)^2), \ \prod_2(G) = (\prod_{u \in D} d(u)^{d(u)})(\prod_{v \in \overline{D}} d(v)^{d(v)}). \tag{12}$$

Based on the concept of domination number, $l \geq n - \gamma$ and (9) yield that $k + p \leq \gamma - 1$. Then

$$|k - p| \leq \gamma - 1. \tag{13}$$

Note that (by [19, 21]) the product of $d(u)^2$ (or $d(u)^{d(u)}$, respectively) with $u \in D$ necessarily attain the maximum (or minimum, respectively) if degrees $d(u)$ differ at most one among each other, i.e., if $d(u) \in \{\lceil \frac{l+2k}{\gamma} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{l+2k}{\gamma} \rfloor\}$ for $u \in D$. Similarly, the product of $d(v)^2$ (or $d(v)^{d(v)}$, respectively) with $v \in \overline{D}$ necessarily attain the maximum (or minimum, respectively) if $d(v) \in \{\lceil \frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma} \rfloor\}$ for $v \in \overline{D}$.

Let $l + 2k = q\gamma + r$, where $0 \leq r \leq \gamma - 1$, $q = \lfloor \frac{l+2k}{\gamma} \rfloor$ and $r = l + 2k - \gamma \lfloor \frac{l+2k}{\gamma} \rfloor$. Based on the relation (10), $\prod_{u \in D} d(u)^2$ (or $\prod_{u \in D} d(u)^{d(u)}$, respevtively) is maximal (or minimal, respectively) if D has r

vertices of degree $q+1$ and $\gamma - r$ vertices of degree q. Combining with the relation $l = n-1-k-p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{u\in D} d(u)^2 \quad &\le (q+1)^{2r}\cdot q^{2(\gamma-r)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(l+2k-\gamma\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor)}\cdot \lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor^{2(\gamma-l-2k+\gamma\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(n-1+(k-p)-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor^{2(\gamma-n+1-(k-p)+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)}, \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{u\in D} d(u)^{d(u)} \quad &\ge (q+1)^{(q+1)r}\cdot q^{q(\gamma-r)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{(\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)(l+2k-\gamma\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor)}\cdot \lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor(\gamma-l-2k+\gamma\lfloor\frac{l+2k}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{(\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)(n-1+(k-p)-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor(\gamma-n+1-(k-p)+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)}. \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, let $l+2p = Q(n-\gamma)+R$, where $Q = \lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor$ and $R = l+2p-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor$. Similarly, based on (11), $\prod_{v\in\overline{D}} d(v)^2$ ($\prod_{v\in\overline{D}} d(v)^{d(v)}$, respectively) is maximal (or minimal, respectively) if $\overline{D}$ has R vertices of degree $Q+1$ and $n-\gamma-R$ vertices of degree Q. Combining with the relation $l = n-1-k-p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{v\in\overline{D}} d(v)^2 \quad &\le (Q+1)^{2R}\cdot Q^{2(n-\gamma-R)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(l+2p-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)}\cdot \lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor^{2(n-\gamma-l-2p+(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor^{2(-\gamma+1-(p-k)+(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)}, \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{v\in\overline{D}} d(v)^{d(v)} \quad &\ge (Q+1)^{(Q+1)R}\cdot Q^{Q(n-\gamma-R)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{(\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)(l+2p-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)}\cdot \lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor(n-\gamma-l-2p+(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{l+2p}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&= (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{(\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor(-\gamma+1-(p-k)+(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)}. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Togethering with (12), (14),(15),(16) and (17), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_1(G) &= (\prod_{u\in D} d(u)^2)(\prod_{v\in \overline{D}} d(v)^2) \\
&\le (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(n-1+(k-p)-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor^{2(\gamma-n+1-(k-p)+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor^{2(-\gamma+1-(p-k)+(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)}, \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_2(G) &= (\prod_{u\in D} d(u)^{d(u)})(\prod_{v\in \overline{D}} d(v)^{d(v)}) \\
&\ge (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{(\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)(n-1+(k-p)-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor(\gamma-n+1-(k-p)+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1+(k-p)}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad (\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{(\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor+1)(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)} \\
&\quad \lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor(-\gamma+1-(p-k)+(n-\gamma)\lfloor\frac{n-1+(p-k)}{n-\gamma}\rfloor)}. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Since n, γ are fixed, then we consider the right-side hands of the relations (18) and (19) as functions about $k-p$, say $f(k-p)$ and $g(k-p)$ with $|k-p| \le \gamma-1$, respectively. It is enough to find the maximal value of $f(k-p)$ and the minimal value of $g(k-p)$ below.

4.1 Upper bounds of $\prod_1(G)$ on trees of domination number γ

Let G be a tree of n vertices and domination number γ. In order to find the maximal values of $\prod_1(G)$, we first consider the case of $1 \le \gamma \le \frac{n}{3}$. The corresponding extreme graphs are given in the following definition.

Definition 4.1. *$\mathcal{D}(n,\gamma)$ is a set of n-vertex trees $D_{n,\gamma}$ with domination number γ such that $D_{n,\gamma}$ consists of the stars of orders $\lfloor\frac{n}{\gamma}\rfloor$ and $\lceil\frac{n}{\gamma}\rceil$ with exact $\gamma-1$ pairs of adjacent leaves in neighboring stars. (See an example of Figure 1.)*

Note that the degrees of $D_{n,\gamma}$ are $n-3\gamma+2$ vertices of degree 1, $2\gamma-2$ vertices of degree 2, $2\gamma-n+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor$ vertices of degree $\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor$ and $n-\gamma-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor$ vertices of degree $\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor+1$, where $\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor$ may equal to 2. Figure 1 is an example of $D_{n,\gamma}$ such that $n=18, \gamma=5$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a tree of n vertices and domination number $1 \le \gamma \le \frac{n}{3}$. Then*

$$\prod_1(G) \le 4^{2\gamma-2}\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor^{2(2\gamma-n+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor)}(\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(n-\gamma-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor)},$$



Figure 1: Two non-isomorphic trees of $D_{18,5}$ [25].

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong D_{n,\gamma}$.

Proof. We proceed on $f(k-p)$ and determine its maximum. If $n = 3$, then $T \cong P_3$, $\gamma = 1$ and Theorem 4.1 is true. If $n > 3$, as $\gamma \leq \frac{n}{3}$, then $n - \gamma \geq \frac{2n}{3}$ and $\frac{\gamma-1}{n-\gamma} \leq \frac{n-3}{2n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus,

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \rfloor = 1. \tag{20}$$

Here we consider $q = \lfloor \frac{l+2k}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor$. Since $\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \geq \frac{n-1-\gamma+1}{\gamma} = \frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma} \geq \frac{2n/3}{n/3} = 2$, then

$$q \geq 2. \tag{21}$$

By combing with above relations,

$$\begin{aligned} f(k-p) &= (q+1)^{2(n-1+(k-p)-\gamma q)} q^{2(1-n+\gamma-(k-p)+\gamma q)} 2^{2(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma)\cdot 1)} \cdot 1 \\ &= (q+1)^{2(k-p)} q^{2(-(k-p))} 2^{2(-(k-p))} \cdot (q+1)^{2(n-1-\gamma q)} q^{2(1-n+\gamma+\gamma q)} 2^{2(n-1-(n-\gamma))} \\ &= (\frac{1/2}{q/(q+1)})^{2(k-p)} \cdot (q+1)^{2(n-1-\gamma q)} q^{2(1-n+\gamma+\gamma q)} 2^{2(\gamma-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $q \geq 2, n, \gamma$ are fixed, by Proposition 1, $f(k-p)$ is a decreasing function with the variable of $k-p$. Since $|k-p| \leq \gamma - 1$, then there are two cases below.

Case 1: $0 \leq k - p \leq \gamma - 1$.

Note that $\frac{n-1}{\gamma} \leq \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \leq \frac{n-1}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} < \frac{n-1}{\gamma} + 1$. Then we have

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor, \text{ for } 0 \leq k-p \leq \gamma \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor + \gamma - n, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor + 1, \text{ for } \gamma \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor + \gamma - n + 1 \leq k-p \leq \gamma - 1. \tag{23}$$

As an addendum, the relation (22) holds if $n = \gamma \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor + 1$.

By (22) and (23), $k-p$ falls in two intervals and the maximum values of $f(k-p)$ arrived at either $k-p=0$ or $k-p=\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1$. In order to find which one is bigger, we need to compare $f(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1)$ and $f(0)$. Note that $\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1\geq(n-1-\gamma)+\gamma-n+1=0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1)}{f(0)} &= \frac{(1/2)^{2(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1)}}{(q/(q+1))^{2\cdot 0}}\\ &= (1/2)^{2(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1)}\\ &\leq (1/2)^{2\cdot 0}\\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Thus, $f(0)$ is maximum when $0\leq k-p\leq\gamma-1$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= (q+1)^{2(n-1-\gamma-q)}q^{2(1-n+\gamma+\gamma q)}2^{2(n-1-(n-\gamma))}\\ &= (\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{2(n-1-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor)}\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor^2(1-n+\gamma+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor)2^{2(\gamma-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Case 2: $-\gamma+1\leq k-p\leq 0$.

Note that $\frac{n-1}{\gamma}-1\leq\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\leq\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\leq\frac{n-1}{\gamma}$. Let $n-1=Q\gamma+R$, where $0\leq R\leq\gamma-1$. For $0\leq R\leq\gamma-2$, we have

$$\lfloor\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\rfloor=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor,\ \text{for}\ \gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1\leq k-p\leq 0, \tag{26}$$

and

$$\lfloor\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\rfloor=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-1,\ \text{for}\ -\gamma+1\leq k-p\leq\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n. \tag{27}$$

As an addendum, the relation (26) holds if $n=\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+1$.

Note that $f(k-p)$ is a decreasing function on these two intervals of (26) and (27), for $0\leq R\leq\gamma-2$. Thus, $f(k-p)$ arrives at the maximum value for either $k-p=\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1$ or $k-p=-\gamma+1$ (If $R=\gamma-1$, then $\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1=-\gamma+1$).

Note that $\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1\geq n-1-\gamma-n+1\geq-\gamma$, $\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\frac{R-\gamma+1}{\gamma}$ and $0\leq R\leq\gamma-2$. By combing above relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1)}{f(-\gamma+1)} &= (\frac{1/2}{Q/(Q+1)})^{2((\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1))-(-\gamma+1))}\\ &= (\frac{1/2}{Q/(Q+1)})^{2((\gamma(\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}-\frac{R-\gamma+1}{\gamma})-n+1))-(-\gamma+1))}\\ &= (\frac{1/2}{Q/(Q+1)})^{2(\gamma-R-1)}\\ &\leq (\frac{1/2}{Q/(Q+1)})^{2\cdot 1}\leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Finally, we need to compare these two maximum values $f(-\gamma+1)$ and $f(0)$. Since $-\gamma+1 \leq 0$ and $f(k-p)$ is decreasing, then the largest value of $f(k-p)$ is arrived at $k-p=-\gamma+1$ and for $k=0$, $p=\gamma-1$ and $l=n-\gamma$. Hence, Theorem 4.1 is true and the equality holds if and only if $G \in \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}$. $\square$

Next we consider the trees of n vertices and domination number $\frac{n}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$. The corresponding extreme graphs are given in the following definition.

Definition 4.2. *$\mathcal{L}(n,\gamma)$ is a set of trees $L_{n,\gamma}$ with n vertices and domination number γ, such that every vertex from $L_{n,\gamma}$ has at most one pendent neighbor, and*
(i) there exists a minimum dominating set D of $L_{n,\gamma}$ containing $3\gamma-n-2$ vertices of degree 3, and $2n-4\gamma$ vertices of degree 2, while the set $\overline{D}$ contains $n-2\gamma+2$ vertices of degree 2 and $3\gamma-n$ pendent vertices, or
(ii) there exists a minimum dominating set D of $L_{n,\gamma}$ containing $n-2\gamma$ vertices of degree 2 and $3\gamma-n$ pedent vertices, while the set $\overline{D}$ has $2n-4\gamma+2$ vertices of degree 2, $3\gamma-n-2$ vertices of degree 3 and any vertices from $\overline{D}$ gas exactly one neighbor in D. (See an example of Figure 2.)



Figure 2: Two non-isomorphic trees of $L_{28,5}$ [25].

Note that $L_{n,\gamma}$ contains $3\gamma-n-2$ vertices of degree 3, $3n-6\gamma+2$ vertices of degree 2 and $3\gamma-n$ vertices of degree 1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a tree of n vertices and domination number $\frac{n}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Then*

$$\prod_1(G) \leq \begin{cases} 4^{n-2}, & \gamma = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil, \\ 4^{3n-6\gamma+2}9^{3\gamma-n-2}, & \frac{n+3}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong L_{n,\gamma}$.

Proof. We proceed on $f(k-p)$ and determine its maximum. If $\gamma = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$, P_n is a path. Thus, $\prod_1(G)$ is maximal and Theorem 4.2 is true. Here we consider the case of $\gamma \geq \frac{n+3}{3}$.

Note that $2\gamma \leq n \leq 3\gamma-3$ yields $\gamma \geq 3$ and $n \geq 6$. Also, $1 = \frac{n-\gamma}{n-\gamma} \leq \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \leq \frac{n+\gamma-2}{n-\gamma} = 1+2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} < 3$. Thus,

$$q_1 = \lfloor \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \rfloor = 1 \text{ or } q_1 = \lfloor \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \rfloor = 2. \tag{29}$$

Case 1: $q_1 = \lfloor \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \rfloor = 1$.

Note that $1 \leq \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} < 2$ yields $p - k < n - 2\gamma + 1$, i.e.,

$$k - p \geq 2\gamma - n.$$

If $2\gamma - n \leq -1$, then $2 \leq \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \leq 3\frac{n-1}{n+3} < 3$. Thus, $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor = 2$, for $2\gamma - n \leq -1$. Now we first consider $2\gamma - n \leq k - p \leq 0$. By the same ideas of the relations (26) and (27), we have

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor = 2, \text{ for } 2\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq 0, \tag{30}$$

and

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor - 1 = 1, \text{ for } k - p = 2\gamma - n. \tag{31}$$

Since $q_1 = \lfloor \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \rfloor = 1$, then the relation (30) holds only. Otherwise, P_n is a counter-example by $\gamma \geq \frac{n+3}{3}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(k-p) &= (2+1)^{2(n-1+k-p-2\gamma)} 2^{2(\gamma-n+1-(k-p)+2\gamma)} (1+1)^{2(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma))} 1 \\ &= (3/4)^{2(k-p)} 3^{2(n-1-2\gamma)} 2^{2(4\gamma-n)}, \text{ for } 2\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Next assume that $0 \leq k - p \leq \gamma - 1$. By the same ideas of the relations (22) and (23), we have

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor = 2, \text{ for } 0 \leq k - p \leq 3\gamma - n, \tag{33}$$

and

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor + 1 = 3, \text{ for } 3\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq \gamma - 1. \tag{34}$$

By the relations (18), (33) and (34), we have

$$f(k-p) = (3/4)^{2(k-p)} 3^{2(n-1-2\gamma)} 2^{2(4\gamma-n)}, \text{ for } 0 \leq k - p \leq 3\gamma - n, \tag{35}$$

and

$$f(k-p) = (2/3)^{2(k-p)} 2^{2(2n-5\gamma-3)} 3^{2(4\gamma-n+1)}, \text{ for } 3\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq \gamma - 1. \tag{36}$$

Togethering with the relations (32) and (35), we have

$$f(k-p) = (3/4)^{2(k-p)} 3^{2(n-1-2\gamma)} 2^{2(4\gamma-n)}, \text{ for } 2\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq 3\gamma - n. \tag{37}$$

By the relations (36) and (37), we have $\frac{f(3\gamma-n)}{f(3\gamma-n+1)} = \frac{16}{9} > 1$. Since the minimal value $f(3\gamma - n)$ of the relation (37) is bigger than the maximum value $f(3\gamma - n + 1)$ of the relation (36). In order to find the maximum value of $f(k - p)$, we should consider the relation (37) only.

To find the sharp upper bound of $\prod_1(G)$, where G is a tree with n vertices and domination n number γ. It is enough to find the maximum realizable value of $k-p$, such that the corresponding tree exists. We will proceed on these steps below.

First, note that an extreme tree G with a maximum $\prod_1(G)$ contains vertices of degree $1, 2$ or 3. By the above considerations, any minimal dominating set D has n_3 verices of degree 3 and n_2 vertices of degree 2, i.e., $n_2+n_3=\gamma$. Also, the set $V(G)\setminus D$ has n_1 vertices of degree 1 and $\overline{n_2}$ vertices of degree 2, i.e., $\overline{n_2}+n_1=n-\gamma$.

As $n=n_1+n_2+\overline{n_2}+n_3$, the relation (1) can be written as $n_1+2(n_2+\overline{n_2})+3n_3=2(n_1+n_2+\overline{n_2}+n_3)-2$. Thus,

$$n_3=n_1-2. \tag{38}$$

Combining with these relations, we have $n_2-\overline{n_2}=2\gamma-n+2$. By using (38), the relations (10) and (11) could be $n-1+k-p=2n_2+3n_1-6$ and $n-1+p-k=2\overline{n_2}+n_1$. Thus, $k-p=n_1+2\gamma-n-1$.

Thus, the function (37) can be expressed as

$$f(n_1)=3^{2(n_1-2)2^{2(n-2n_1+2)}}=(3/4)^{2n_1}3^{-2}2^{2n+4},\ \text{for } 2\le n_1\le\gamma+1. \tag{39}$$

Now we turn to the case of $2\gamma-n=0$, i.e., $\gamma=n/2$ if n is even. Then $\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor=1$ and similar to the relations (22) and (23), we have $q=\lfloor\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\rfloor=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor=1$ for $k-p=0$ and $q=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+1=2$, for $1\le k-p\le\frac{n}{2}-1$. Recall that at the same time $q_1=1$ and, consequently, it has to be $q=2$ (since for $q=1, T\cong P_n$, a contadiction, as $\gamma\ge\frac{n+3}{3}$).

By the same method above, we have $f(n_1)=(3/4)^{2n_1}3^{-2}2^{2n+4}$, for $2\le n_1\le\frac{n}{2}$.

Thus, we should find the minimal value of n_1 such that there exists such trees with n vertices and domination number γ with

$$\frac{n+3}{3}\le\gamma\le\frac{n}{2}. \tag{40}$$

Note that the vertices from any dominating set D of G have degrees 2 and 3, and the vertices $\overline{D}$ have degrees 1 and 2.

By Lemma 1.1, we have $n_1\ge 3\gamma-n$. Then the maximal possible value of $f(n_1)$ is achieved for $n_1=3\gamma-n$, i.e., $k-p=5\gamma-2n-1$ and $f(5\gamma-2n-1)=4^{3n-6\gamma+2}9^{3\gamma-n-2}$. In addition, the extreme graphs of achieving the equality in Theorem 4.2 belong to $\mathcal{L}(n,\gamma)$.

Case 2: $q_1=\lfloor\frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma}\rfloor=2$.

Note that $2\le\frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma}<3$ yields that $p-k\ge n-2\gamma+1$, that is $k-p\le 2\gamma-n-1<0$. Also, $1\le\frac{n-1-\gamma+1}{\gamma}\le\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\le\frac{n-1+2\gamma-n-1}{\gamma}=2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}<2$ implies that $q=\lfloor\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\rfloor=1$.

For $p-k=n-2\gamma+1$ and any minimal dominating set D, $\frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma}=2$ and all vertices of $\overline{D}$ are degree of 2. If the vertices of D are degree of 1 or 2, then $T\cong P_n$ and it is contradicted with the assumption. By $\gamma\ge\frac{n+3}{3}$, we have $p-k\ge n-2\gamma+2$, i.e., $k-p\le 2\gamma-n-2$.

Thus, we have

$$f(k-p) = (4/3)^{2(k-p)}2^{2(3n-4\gamma+1)}3^{2(2\gamma-n+1)}, \text{ for } -\gamma+1 \leq k-p \leq 2\gamma-n-2.$$

Next we need to determine the minimum realization of $k-p$ such that the related tree exists. Here we will proceed in by the same method of previous case. Let n_1, n_2 be the number of vertices of degrees 1 and 2, respectively. By the routinely procedure, we have $n_2 - \overline{n_2} = 2\gamma-n-2$ and $k-p = 2\gamma-n-n_1+1$.

Now the function $f(k-p)$ can be writen as

$$f(n_1) = (3/4)^{2n_1}2^{2(n+2)}3^{2(-2)}, \text{ for } 3 \leq n_1 \leq 3\gamma-n. \tag{41}$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have $n_1 \geq 3\gamma-n$. Thus, $n_1 = 3\gamma-n$ is the unique one such that $f(n_1)$ is maximal. Then there is a corresponding tree with n vertices and domination number $\frac{n+3}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$ such that the vertices in any minimal dominating set D have degrees 1 and 2, and the vertices in $\overline{D}$ have degrees 2 and 3.

Thus, $f(3\gamma-n) = 4^{3n-6\gamma+2}9^{3\gamma-n-2}$ is the unique value and is the maximal value of $f(k-p)$ in Case 1. Now that $n_1 = 3\gamma-n$ yields that $k-p = -\gamma+1$. By the relations (9) and (13), we have $k = 0, p = \gamma-1$ and $l = n-\gamma$.

By the definition of the domination number, a vertex with more than one pendent neighbor belongs to every minimum dominating set of a tree, implying that every vertex in a tree T, obtained as described above, has at most one pendent neighbor. By previous considerations, the resulting extremal trees, for which equality holds in Theorem 4.2, belong to the graphs in Definition 3.2 (ii).

This completes the proof. □

4.2 Lower bounds of $\prod_2(G)$ on trees of domination number γ

Let G be a tree of n vertices and domination number γ. In order to find the minimal values of $\prod_2(G)$, we first consider the case of $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{3}$. The corresponding extreme graphs are given in Definition 2.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a tree of n vertices and domination number $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{3}$. Then*

$$\prod_2(G) \geq 4^{2\gamma-2}\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor(2\gamma-n+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor)}(\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)^{\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor(n-\gamma-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}\rfloor)},$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong D_{n,\gamma}$.

Proof. We proceed on $g(k-p)$ and determine its minimum. If $n = 3$, then $T \cong P_3$, $\gamma = 1$ and Theorem 4.3 is true. If $n > 3$, as $\gamma \leq \frac{n}{3}$, then $n-\gamma \geq \frac{2n}{3}$ and $\frac{\gamma-1}{n-\gamma} \leq \frac{n-3}{2n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By the relations (20) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(k-p) &= (q+1)^{(q+1)(n-1+(k-p)-\gamma q)}q^{q(1-n+\gamma-(k-p)+\gamma q)}2^{2(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma)\cdot 1)} \cdot 1 \\ &= (q+1)^{(q+1)(k-p)}q^{q(-(k-p))}2^{2(-(k-p))} \cdot (q+1)^{(q+1)(n-1-\gamma q)}q^{q(1-n+\gamma+\gamma q)}2^{2(n-1-(n-\gamma))} \\ &= (\frac{1^1/2^2}{q^q/(q+1)^{(q+1)}})^{(k-p)} \cdot (q+1)^{(q+1)(n-1-\gamma q)}q^{q(1-n+\gamma+\gamma q)}2^{2(\gamma-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $q \geq 2, n, \gamma$ are fixed, by Proposition 2, $g(k-p)$ is an increasing function with the variable of $k-p$. Since $|k-p| \leq \gamma - 1$, then there are two cases below.

Case 1: $0 \leq k-p \leq \gamma - 1$.

By the relations (22) and (23), $k-p$ falls in two intervals and the minimum values of $g(k-p)$ arrived at either $k-p=0$ or $k-p = \gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor + \gamma - n + 1$. In order to find which one is bigger, we need to compare $g(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor + \gamma - n + 1)$ and $g(0)$. Note that $\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor + \gamma - n + 1 \geq (n-1-\gamma) + \gamma - n + 1 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{g(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor + \gamma - n + 1)}{g(0)} &= \frac{(1^1/2^2)^{(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1)}}{(q^q/(q+1)^{(q+1)})^0} \\ &= (1^1/2^2)^{(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+\gamma-n+1)} \\ &\geq (1^1/2^2)^0 = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Thus, $g(0)$ is minimum when $0 \leq k-p \leq \gamma - 1$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= (q+1)^{(q+1)(n-1-\gamma-q)} q^{q(1-n+\gamma+\gamma q)} 2^{2(n-1-(n-\gamma))} \\ &= (\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor + 1)^{(\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+1)(n-1-\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor)} \lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor(1-n+\gamma+\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor)} 2^{2(\gamma-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Case 2: $-\gamma + 1 \leq k-p \leq 0$.

Note that $\frac{n-1}{\gamma} - 1 \leq \frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma} \leq \frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma} \leq \frac{n-1}{\gamma}$. Let $n-1 = Q\gamma + R$, where $0 \leq R \leq \gamma - 1$. We pay our attention on the case of $0 \leq R \leq \gamma - 2$ firstly. Note that $g(k-p)$ is an incresing function on these two intervals of the relations (26) and (27), for $0 \leq R \leq \gamma - 2$. Thus, $g(k-p)$ arrives at the minimum value for either $k-p = \gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor - n + 1$ or $k-p = -\gamma + 1$ (If $R = \gamma - 1$, then $\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor - n + 1 = -\gamma + 1$).

Note that $\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor - n + 1 \geq n - 1 - \gamma - n + 1 \geq -\gamma$, $\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma} = \lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor + \frac{R-\gamma+1}{\gamma}$ and $0 \leq R \leq \gamma - 2$. By combing above relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{g(\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor - n + 1)}{g(-\gamma+1)} &= (\frac{(1^1)/(2^2)}{Q^Q/(Q+1)^{(Q+1)}})^{((\gamma\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor-n+1))-(-\gamma+1))} \\ &= (\frac{1^1/2^2}{Q^Q/(Q+1)^{(Q+1)}})^{((\gamma(\frac{n-\gamma}{\gamma}-\frac{R-\gamma+1}{\gamma})-n+1))-(-\gamma+1))} \\ &= (\frac{1^1/2^2}{Q^Q/(Q+1)^{(Q+1)}})^{(\gamma-R-1)} \\ &\geq (\frac{1^1/2^2}{Q^Q/(Q+1)^{(Q+1)}})^{2\cdot 1} \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Finally, we need to compare these two minimum values $g(-\gamma+1)$ and $g(0)$. Since $-\gamma + 1 \leq 0$ and $g(k-p)$ is increasing, then the smallest value of $g(k-p)$ is arrived at $k-p = -\gamma + 1$ and for $k = 0$, $p = \gamma - 1$ and $l = n - \gamma$. Hence, Theorem 4.3 is true and the equality holds if and only if $G \in \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}$. □

At the last part, we consider the case of $\frac{n}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$, for a tree G with n vertices and domination number γ.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a tree of n vertices and domination number $\frac{n}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Then*

$$\prod_2(G) \geq \begin{cases} 4^{n-2}, & \gamma = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil, \\ 4^{3n-6\gamma+2}27^{3\gamma-n-2}, & \frac{n+3}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong L_{n,\gamma}$.

Proof. We proceed on $g(k-p)$ and determine its minimum. If $\gamma = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$, P_n is a path. Thus, $\prod_2(G)$ is minimal and Theorem 4.4 is true. Here we consider the case of $\gamma \geq \frac{n+3}{3}$.

Note that $2\gamma \leq n \leq 3\gamma - 3$ yields $\gamma \geq 3$ and $n \geq 6$. By the relation (29), we need to consider two cases below.

Case 1: $q_1 = \lfloor \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} \rfloor = 1$.

Note that $1 \leq \frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma} < 2$ yields $p - k < n - 2\gamma + 1$, i.e.,

$$k - p \geq 2\gamma - n.$$

If $2\gamma - n \leq -1$, then $2 \leq \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \leq 3\frac{n-1}{n+3} < 3$. Thus, $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{\gamma} \rfloor = 2$, for $2\gamma - n \leq -1$. Now we first consider $2\gamma - n \leq k - p \leq 0$. By the same ideas of (26) and (27), we have that the relations (30) and (31) hold. Similar to (32), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g(k-p) &= (2+1)^{(2+1)(n-1+k-p-2\gamma)}2^{2(\gamma-n+1-(k-p)+2\gamma)}(1+1)^{2(n-1+(p-k)-(n-\gamma))}1 \\ &= (27/16)^{2(k-p)}3^{3(n-1-2\gamma)}2^{2(4\gamma-n)}, \text{ for } 2\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Next assume that $0 \leq k - p \leq \gamma - 1$. By the same ideas of the relation (22) and (23), we have the relations (33) and (34) hold. By the relations (19), (33) and (34), we have

$$g(k-p) = (27/16)^{2(k-p)}3^{3(n-1-2\gamma)}2^{2(4\gamma-n)}, \text{ for } 0 \leq k - p \leq 3\gamma - n, \tag{46}$$

and

$$g(k-p) = 16^{k-p}2^{6n-16\gamma-8}, \text{ for } 3\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq \gamma - 1. \tag{47}$$

Togethering with the relations (45) and (46), we have

$$f(k-p) = (27/16)^{2(k-p)}3^{3(n-1-2\gamma)}2^{2(4\gamma-n)}, \text{ for } 2\gamma - n + 1 \leq k - p \leq 3\gamma - n. \tag{48}$$

By the relations (47) and (48), we have $\frac{f(3\gamma-n)}{f(3\gamma-n+1)} < 1$. Since the maximal value $f(3\gamma - n)$ of (48) is smaller than the minimum value $f(3\gamma - n + 1)$ of the relation (47). In order to find the minimum value of $g(k-p)$, we should consider the relation (48) only.

To find the sharp lower bound of $\prod_2(G)$, where G is a tree with n vertices and domination n number γ. It is enough to find the minimum realizable value of $k - p$, such that the corresponding tree exists. We will proceed on these steps below.

First, note that an extreme tree G with a minimum $\prod_2(G)$ contains vertices of degree $1, 2$ or 3. By the above considerations, any minimal dominating set D has n_3 verices of degree 3 and n_2 vertices of

degree 2, i.e., $n_2+n_3=\gamma$. Also, the set $V(G)\setminus D$ has n_1 vertices of degree 1 and $\overline{n_2}$ vertices of degree 2, i.e., $\overline{n_2}+n_1=n-\gamma$.

As $n=n_1+n_2+\overline{n_2}+n_3$, the relation (1) can be written as $n_1+2(n_2+\overline{n_2})+3n_3=2(n_1+n_2+\overline{n_2}+n_3)-2$. Thus,

$$n_3=n_1-2. \tag{49}$$

Combining these relations, we have $n_2-\overline{n_2}=2\gamma-n+2$. By using (49), the relations (10) and (11) could be $n-1+k-p=2n_2+3n_1-6$ and $n-1+p-k=2\overline{n_2}+n_1$. Thus, $k-p=n_1+2\gamma-n-1$.

Thus, the function (48) can be expressed as

$$g(n_1)=2^{4n_1+2n-8\gamma-12},\ \text{for } 2\leq n_1\leq \gamma+1. \tag{50}$$

Now we turn to the case of $2\gamma-n=0$, i.e., $\gamma=n/2$ if n is even. Then $\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor=1$ and similar to the relations (22) and (23), we have $q=\lfloor\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\rfloor=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor=1$ for $k-p=0$ and $q=\lfloor\frac{n-1}{\gamma}\rfloor+1=2$, for $1\leq k-p\leq\frac{n}{2}-1$. Recall that at the same time $q_1=1$ and, consequently, it has to be $q=2$ (since for $q=1, T\cong P_n$, a contadiction, as $\gamma\geq\frac{n+3}{3}$).

By the same method above, we have $g(n_1)=2^{4n_1+2n-8\gamma-12}$, for $2\leq n_1\leq\frac{n}{2}$. Thus, we should find the minimal value of n_1 such that there exists such trees with n vertices and domination number γ with

$$\frac{n+3}{3}\leq\gamma\leq\frac{n}{2}. \tag{51}$$

Note that the vertices from any dominating set D of G have degrees 2 and 3, and the vertices $\overline{D}$ have degrees 1 and 2. By Lemma 1.1, we have $n_1\geq 3\gamma-n$. Then the minimal possible value of $f(n_1)$ is achieved for $n_1=3\gamma-n$, i.e., $k-p=5\gamma-2n-1$ and $g(5\gamma-2n-1)=4^{3n-6\gamma+2}27^{3\gamma-n-2}$. In addition, the extreme graphs of achieving the equality in Theorem 4.4 belong to $\mathcal{L}(n,\gamma)$.

Case 2: $q_1=\lfloor\frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma}\rfloor=2$.

Note that $2\leq\frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma}<3$ yields that $p-k\geq n-2\gamma+1$, that is $k-p\leq 2\gamma-n-1<0$. Also, $1\leq\frac{n-1-\gamma+1}{\gamma}\leq\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\leq\frac{n-1+2\gamma-n-1}{\gamma}=2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}<2$ implies that $q=\lfloor\frac{n-1+k-p}{\gamma}\rfloor=1$.

For $p-k=n-2\gamma+1$ and any minimal dominating set D, $\frac{n-1+p-k}{n-\gamma}=2$ and all vertices of $\overline{D}$ are degree of 2. If the vertices of D are degree of 1 or 2, then $T\cong P_n$ and it is contradicted with the assumption. By $\gamma\geq\frac{n+3}{3}$, we have $p-k\geq n-2\gamma+2$, i.e., $k-p\leq 2\gamma-n-2$.

Thus, we have

$$g(k-p)=(16/27)^{(k-p)}2^{2(3n-4\gamma)}3^{3(2\gamma-n-1)},\ \text{for } -\gamma+1\leq k-p\leq 2\gamma-n-2.$$

Next we need to determine the maximal realization of $k-p$ such that the related tree exists. Here we will proceed in by the same method of previous case. Let n_1,n_2 be the number of vertices of degrees 1 and 2, respectively. By the routinely procedure, we have $n_2-\overline{n_2}=2\gamma-n-2$ and $k-p=2\gamma-n-n_1+1$.

Now the function $g(k-p)$ can be writen as

$$g(n_1) = (27/16)^{n_1} 2^{2(n+2)} 3^{2(-3)}, \text{ for } 3 \leq n_1 \leq 3\gamma - n. \tag{52}$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have $n_1 \geq 3\gamma - n$. Since $g(n_1)$ is an increasing function, then $n_1 = 3\gamma - n$ is the unique one such that $g(n_1)$ is minimal. Then there is a corresponding tree with n vertices and domination number $\frac{n+3}{3} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n}{2}$ such that the vertices in any minimal dominating set D have degrees 1 and 2, and the vertices in $\overline{D}$ have degrees 2 and 3.

Thus, $f(3\gamma - n) = 4^{3n-6\gamma+2} 27^{3\gamma-n-2}$ is the unique value and is the maximal value of $f(k-p)$ in Case 1. Now that $n_1 = 3\gamma - n$ yields that $k - p = -\gamma + 1$. By the relations (9) and (13), we have $k = 0, p = \gamma - 1$ and $l = n - \gamma$.

By the definition of the domination number, a vertex with more than one pendent neighbor belongs to every minimum dominating set of a tree, implying that every vertex in a tree T, obtained as described above, has at most one pendent neighbor. By previous considerations, the resulting extremal trees, for which equality holds in 4.4, belong to the graphs in Definition 3.2 (ii).

This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements.

The work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant nos. 11271149, 11371162 and 11601006, the Natural Science Foundation for the Higher Education Institutions of Anhui Province of China under Grant no. KJ2015A331. Also, it was partially supported by the Self-determined Research Funds of CCNU from the colleges basic research and operation of MOE.

References

[1] J. Estes, B. Wei, Sharp bounds of the Zagreb indices of k-trees. J. Comb. Optim. 27 (2014) 271-291.

[2] A. Hou, S. Li, L. Song, B. Wei, Sharp bounds for Zagreb indices of maximal outerplanar graphs. J. Comb. Optim. 22 (2011) 252-269.

[3] S. Klavžar, I. Gutman, The Szeged and the Wiener index of graphs, Appl. Math. Lett. 9 (1996) 45-49.

[4] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 17 (1972) 535-538.

[5] I. Gutman, On the origin of two degreeCbased topological indices, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts. (Cl. Sci. Math.) 146 (2014) 39-52.

[6] Y. Hu, X. Li, Y. Shi, T. Xu, I. Gutman, On molecular graphs with smallest and greatest zeroth-Corder general randić index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 425-434.

[7] X. Li, Y. Shi, A survey on the randic index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 59 (2008) 127-156.

[8] Y. Shi, Note on two generalizations of the randic index, Appl. Math. Comput 265 (2015) 1019-1025.

[9] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, S. Ediz, On difference of Zagreb indices, Discr. Appl. Math 178 (2014) 83-88.

[10] S.M. Hosamani, I. Gutman, Zagreb indices of transformation graphs and total transformation graphs, Appl. Math. Comput 247 (2014) 1156-1160.

[11] K. Xu, K.C. Das, S. Balachandran, Maximizing the Zagreb indices of (n, m)-graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 72 (2014) 641-654.

[12] J.F. Wang, F. Belardo, A lower bound for the first Zagreb index and its application, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 74 (2015) 35-56.

[13] J. B. Liu, X. F. Pan, Asymptotic incidence energy of lattices, Physica A 422 (2015) 193-202.

[14] J. B. Liu, X. F. Pan, F. T. Hu, F. F. Hu, Asymptotic Laplacian-energy-like invariant of lattices, Appl. Math. Comput. 253 (2015) 205-214.

[15] J. B. Liu, X. F. Pan, A unified approach to the asymptotic topological indices of various lattices, Appl. Math. Comput. 270 (2015) 62-73.

[16] R. Todeschini, D. Ballabio, V. Consonni, Novel molecular descriptors based on functions of new vertex degrees, in: I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Novel Molecular Structure Descriptors Theory and Applications I, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, (2010) 72-100.

[17] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, New local vertex invariants and molecular descriptors based on functions of the vertex degrees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 64 (2010) 359-372.

[18] K. Xu, H. Hua, A unified approach to extremal multiplicative Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic and bicyclic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 241-256.

[19] A. Iranmanesh, M.A. Hosseinzadeh, I. Gutman, On multiplicative Zagreb indices of graphs, Iranian J. Math. Chem. 3 (2) (2012) 145-154.

[20] J. Liu, Q. Zhang, Sharp upper bounds for multiplicative Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 231-240.

[21] S. Wang, B. Wei, Multiplicative Zagreb indices of k-trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 180 (2015) 168-175.

[22] C. Wang, S. Wang, B. Wei, Cacti with Extremal PI Index, Transactions on Combinatorics 5 (2016) 1-8.

[23] S. Wang, B. Wei, Multiplicative Zagreb indices of Cacti, Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications (2016) 1650040.

[24] R. Kazemi, Note on the multiplicative Zagreb indices, Discrete Appl. Math. 198 (2016) 147-154.

[25] B. Borovićanin., B. Furtula, On extremal Zagreb indices of trees with given domination number, Appl. Math. Comput. 279 (2016) 208-218.

[26] A. Vasilyev, R. Darda, D. Stevanović, Trees of given order and independent number with minimal Zagreb index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 72 (2014) 775-782.